

Application No. 09/915,332  
Reply to Office Action of March 23, 2005

Patent  
Attorney Docket No. 86655-5  
(formerly 86177-11)

### I. AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

Please find below a listing of claims that will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application:

#### Listing of claims:

1. (currently amended) A router supporting multiple routing protocols, comprising:
  - a. an interface layer including a plurality of I/O controllers, each I/O controller implementing an I/O port;
  - b. a switching layer in communication with said interface layer for selectively establishing signal pathways between said I/O ports;
  - c. a routing layer in communication with said interface layer, said routing layer having at least first and second routing protocol computing entities, each routing protocol computing entity being associated with a distinct subset of at least one routing protocol from a common set of routing protocols and including:
    - i. a CPU;
    - ii. a data storage medium in communication with said CPU;
    - iii. program data stored in said data storage medium for execution by said CPU;
  - d. the program data in the data storage medium of ~~said first~~ each routing protocol computing entity effecting management of one or more peering sessions with remote routing devices according to ~~a first routing protocol~~ only the at least one routing protocol in the associated subset, when executed by the CPU of ~~said first~~ the respective routing protocol computing entity[;];
  - e. ~~the program data in the data storage medium of said second routing protocol computing entity effecting management of one or more peering sessions with remote routing devices according to a second routing protocol when executed by the CPU of said second routing protocol computing entity; and~~

Application No. 09/915,332  
Reply to Office Action of March 23, 2005

Patent  
Attorney Docket No. 86655-5  
(formerly 86177-11)

~~f. — said first routing protocol being different from said second routing protocol.~~

2. (original) A router as defined in claim 1, wherein each routing protocol computing entity is operative to maintain simultaneously a plurality of peering sessions with remote routing devices.
3. (original) A router as defined in claim 2, wherein each routing protocol computing entity exchanges data with a remote routing device through said interface layer during a peering session.
4. (original) A router as defined in claim 3, wherein the peering session includes a transfer of route information data from said router to a remote routing device.
5. (original) A router as defined in claim 4, wherein the peering session includes a transfer of route information data from a remote routing device to said router.
6. (original) A router as defined in claim 5, wherein the data storage medium of said first routing protocol computing entity stores a local routing table holding at least one inbound route database derived at least in part from route information data transferred from a remote routing device to said router.
7. (original) A router as defined in claim 6, wherein said first routing protocol computing entity applies an inbound policy processing on the route information data transferred from a remote routing device during generation of the inbound route database.
8. (original) A router as defined in claim 7, wherein the local routing table holds a best route database, said first routing protocol computing entity applies an outbound policy processing on the best route database to generate at least one outbound route database, said first routing protocol computing entity being operative to transfer route information data from said outbound route database to a remote routing device.

Application No. 09/915,332  
Reply to Office Action of March 23, 2005

Patent  
Attorney Docket No. 86655-5  
(formerly 86177-11)

9. (original) A router as defined in claim 8, wherein the data storage medium of each routing protocol computing entity stores a local routing table holding at least one inbound route database derived from route information data transferred from a remote routing device to said router.
10. (original) A router as defined in claim 9, wherein each routing protocol computing entity applies an inbound policy processing on the route information data transferred from a remote routing device during generation of the inbound route database.
11. (currently amended) A router as defined in claim 10, wherein the local routing table of each routing protocol computing entity holds a best route database, the at least one routing protocol of each routing protocol computing entity in each subset applies an outbound policy processing on the best route database to generate at least one outbound route database, each routing protocol computing entity being operative to transfer route information data from said outbound route database to a remote routing device.
12. (original) A router as defined in claim 11, wherein said routing layer includes a control computing entity in data communicative relationship with each routing protocol computing entity, said control computing entity including:
  - a. a CPU;
  - b. a data storage medium in communication with said CPU;
  - c. a program data stored in said data storage medium for execution by said CPU;
  - d. a master routing table stored in said data storage medium.
13. (original) A router as defined in claim 12, wherein the program data stored in the data storage medium of said control computing entity implements a routing table manager for managing said master routing table.

Application No. 09/915,332  
Reply to Office Action of March 23, 2005

Patent  
Attorney Docket No. 86655-5  
(formerly 86177-11)

14. (original) A router as defined in claim 13, wherein each routing protocol computing entity is in communication with said control computing entity to transfer to the data storage medium of said control computing entity data from the inbound route database.
15. (original) A router as defined in claim 14, wherein said routing table manager is operative to apply a master policy processing on data received from the inbound routing database in each routing protocol computing entity to generate the master routing table.
16. (original) A router as defined in claim 15, wherein said master policy processing includes merging the data in the inbound routing databases from said first and said second routing protocol computing entities to produce merged inbound routing data.
17. (original) A router as defined in claim 16, wherein the merged inbound routing data includes information mapping destinations and routes to the destinations.
18. (original) A router as defined in claim 17, wherein the merged inbound routing data includes a plurality of destinations and a set of routes associated with each destination of the plurality of destinations, said master policy processing includes discarding from each set of routes a plurality of routes and retaining only a subset of the set of routes.
19. (original) A router as defined in claim 18, wherein said control computing entity is operative to transfer to the data storage medium of said first routing protocol computing entity at least a portion of the master routing data to form the best route database in the data storage medium of said first routing protocol computing entity.
20. (original) A router as defined in claim 19, wherein said control computing entity is operative to transfer to the data storage medium of said second routing protocol computing entity at least a portion of the master routing data to form the best route database in the data storage medium of said second routing protocol computing entity.

Application No. 09/915,332  
Reply to Office Action of March 23, 2005

Patent  
Attorney Docket No. 86655-5  
(formerly 86177-11)

21. (original) A router as defined in claim 18, wherein each I/O controller includes a forwarding processor, when a data packet is received at the I/O controller, said forwarding processor determining an I/O port of said interface layer through which the data packet is to be released, said forwarding processor including a data storage medium holding a forwarding table, said forwarding table including data derived from said master routing table.
22. (currently amended) A router as defined in claim 1, wherein the subset associated with said first routing protocol [[is]] computing entity contains BGP, and wherein the subset associated with said second routing protocol [[is]] computing entity contains OSPF.
23. (currently amended) A router, comprising:
- a. an interface layer including a plurality of I/O controllers, each I/O controller implementing an I/O port;
  - b. a switching layer in communication with said interface layer for selectively establishing signal pathways between said I/O ports;
  - c. a routing layer in communication with said interface layer, said routing layer having at least first and second routing protocol computing entities, each routing protocol computing entity including:
    - i. a CPU;
    - ii. a data storage medium in communication with said CPU;
    - iii. a program data stored in said data storage medium for execution by said CPU;
  - d. the program data in the data storage medium of said first routing protocol computing entity effecting management of one or more peering sessions with remote routing devices according to a first routing protocol, when executed by the CPU of said first routing protocol computing entity;
  - e. the program data in the data storage medium of said second routing protocol computing entity effecting management of one or more peering sessions with

Application No. 09/915,332  
Reply to Office Action of March 23, 2005

Patent  
Attorney Docket No. 86655-5  
(formerly 86177-11)

remote routing devices according to a second routing protocol when executed by the CPU of said second routing protocol computing entity; [[and]]

- f. the first routing protocol being the same as the second routing protocol[[.]];
- g. said management of one or more peering sessions effected by the program data in the data storage medium of said first routing protocol computing entity comprising maintaining in the data storage medium of said first routing protocol computing entity one or more inbound route databases containing route information derived from information received during one or more peering sessions managed by said first routing protocol computing entity;
- h. said management of one or more peering sessions effected by the program data in the data storage medium of said second routing protocol computing entity comprising maintaining in the data storage medium of said second routing protocol computing entity one or more inbound route databases containing route information derived from information received during one or more peering sessions managed by said second routing protocol computing entity;
- i. said one or more inbound route databases of said first routing protocol computing entity not containing at least some of the route information contained in said one or more inbound route databases of said second routing protocol computing entity.

- 24. (original) A router as defined in claim 23, wherein the first routing protocol and the second routing protocol are distance vector protocols.
- 25. (currently amended) A router as defined in claim [[24]] 23, wherein the first routing protocol and the second routing protocol are link state protocols.
- 26. (currently amended) A router as defined in claim [[25]] 24, wherein the first routing protocol computing entity is capable of establishing peering sessions with a first set of remote routing devices, the second routing protocol computing entity is capable of establishing peering sessions with a second set of remote routing devices, the first set

Application No. 09/915,332  
Reply to Office Action of March 23, 2005

Patent  
Attorney Docket No. 86655-5  
(formerly 86177-11)

of remote routing devices excluding at least one routing device that belongs to the second set of routing devices.

27. (original) A router as defined in claim 26, wherein the first set of remote routing devices excludes any remote routing device from the second set.
28. (original) A router as defined in claim 27, wherein the first and the second sets of remote routing devices are mutually exclusive sets.
29. (currently amended) A router as defined in claim ~~[[26]]~~ 25, wherein the first routing protocol computing entity is capable of establishing peering sessions with remote routing devices from a first area, the second routing protocol computing entity is capable of establishing peering sessions with remote routing devices from a second area, the first area being different from the second area.
30. (original) A router as defined in claim 23, wherein said first and second routing protocols are BGP.
31. - 34. (cancelled)
35. (currently amended) A router ~~as defined in claim 31~~, comprising:
- a. an interface layer including a plurality of I/O controllers, each I/O controller implementing an I/O port;
  - b. a switching layer in communication with said interface layer for selectively establishing signal pathways between said I/O ports;
  - c. a routing layer in communication with said interface layer, said routing layer being capable of managing at least one peering session with a remote routing device, the peering session including the exchange of messages with the remote routing device through one of the I/O controllers, the peering session being comprised of a plurality of tasks;
  - d. the one I/O controller implementing a peering session assist module.

Application No. 09/915,332  
Reply to Office Action of March 23, 2005

Parent  
Attorney Docket No. 86655-5  
(formerly 86177-11)

- e. said peering session assist module being capable of performing some of the tasks of the peering session autonomously from said routing layer;
  - f. said routing layer being capable of performing tasks of the peering session other than the tasks performed by the peering session assist module;
- wherein the tasks performed by the peering session assist module include authenticating messages received from the remote routing device.

36. - 37. (cancelled)

38. (original) A router, comprising:

- a. an interface layer including a plurality of I/O controllers, each I/O controller implementing an I/O port;
- b. a switching layer in communication with said interface layer for selectively establishing signal pathways between said I/O ports;
- c. a routing layer in communication with said interface layer;
- d. each I/O controller implementing an LSA entity, said LSA entity including an LS database, said LSA entity being responsive to an LSA message from a remote routing device including LS information to:
  - i. update said LS database;
  - ii. forward the LS information to said routing layer;
  - iii. forward the LS information to at least another I/O controller of said interface layer.

39. (original) A router as defined in claim 38 wherein said LSA entity is operative to verify, upon reception of the LSA message, whether the LS information is already present in said LS database and in the affirmative to discard the LSA message.

40. (original) A router as defined in claim 39, wherein said LSA entity is responsive to reception of LS information received from another I/O controller of said interface layer to forward an LSA message including the LS information to a remote routing device.



Application No. 09/915,332  
Reply to Office Action of March 23, 2005

Patent  
Attorney Docket No. 86655-5  
(formerly 86177-11)

41. (original) A router as defined in claim 38, wherein said routing layer includes:
- a. a control computing entity in data communicative relationship with each I/O controller, said control computing entity, including:
    - i. a CPU;
    - ii. a data storage medium in communication with said CPU;
    - iii. a master routing table stored in said data storage medium, said master routing table holding a master routing database derived at least in part from the LS database of at least one of said I/O controllers;
    - iv. program data in said data storage medium to implement a main routing table manager to manage said master routing table;
  - b. a backup computing entity in data communicative relationship with at least one of said I/O controller, said backup computing entity including:
    - i. a CPU;
    - ii. a data storage medium in communication with the CPU of said backup computing entity;
    - iii. program data in the data storage medium of said backup computing entity for execution by the CPU of said backup computing entity to implement a main routing table manager;
    - iv. said backup computing entity being responsive to an operational failure of said control computing entity to:
      - 1. transfer information from at least one of said I/O controllers to re-build the LS database;
      - 2. enable the program data in the data storage medium of said backup computing entity to act as a main routing table manager.
42. (original) A router, comprising:
- a. an interface layer including a plurality of I/O controllers, each controller implementing at least one I/O port;

Application No. 09/915,332  
Reply to Office Action of March 23, 2005

Patent  
Attorney Docket No. 86655-5  
(formerly 86177-11)

- b. a switching layer in communication with said interface layer for selectively establishing signal pathways between said I/O ports;
- c. a routing layer in communication with said interface layer, said routing layer having at least first and second routing protocol computing entities, each routing protocol computing entity including:
  - i. a CPU;
  - ii. a data storage medium in communication with said CPU;
  - iii. a program data stored in said data storage medium for execution by said CPU;
- d. the program data in the storage medium of said first routing protocol computing entity effecting management of one or more peering sessions with remote routing devices according to a first routing protocol, when executed by the CPU of said first routing protocol computing entity;
- e. the program data in the storage medium of said second routing protocol computing entity effecting management of one or more peering sessions with remote routing devices according to a second routing protocol when executed by the CPU of said second routing protocol computing entity;
- f. the data storage medium of said first routing protocol computing entity holding a local routing table storing an inbound routing database derived from route information transferred from a remote routing device during a peering session managed by said first routing protocol computing entity;
- g. the data storage medium of said second routing protocol computing entity holding a local routing table storing an inbound routing database derived from route information transferred from a remote routing device during a peering session managed by said second routing protocol computing entity;
- h. said routing layer including a control computing entity in data communicative relationship with each routing protocol computing entity, said control computing entity including:
  - i. a CPU;
  - ii. a data storage medium in communication with the CPU of said control computing entity;

Application No. 09/915,332  
Reply to Office Action of March 23, 2005

Patent  
Attorney Docket No. 86655-5  
(formerly 86177-11)

- iii. a master routing table stored in the data storage medium of said control computing entity, said master routing table holding a master routing database derived at least in part from the inbound routing database of said first routing protocol computing entity and from the inbound routing database of said second routing protocol computing entity;
  - iv. program data in the data storage medium of said control computing entity for execution by the CPU of said control computing entity to implement a main routing table manager to manage said master routing table;
  - i. a backup computing entity in data communicative relationship with said first and second routing protocol computing entities and with said control computing entity, said backup computing entity including:
    - i. a CPU;
    - ii. a data storage medium in communication with the CPU of said backup computing entity;
    - iii. program data in the data storage medium of said backup computing entity for execution by the CPU of said backup computing entity to implement a main routing table manager;
    - iv. said backup computing entity being responsive to an operational failure of said control computing entity to:
      - 1. download the inbound routing databases from said first and second routing protocol computing entities;
      - 2. re-build the master routing database at least in part from the inbound routing databases downloaded from said first and second routing protocol computing entities.
43. (original) A router as defined in claim 42, wherein said first routing protocol is different from said second routing protocol.
44. (original) A router as defined in claim 42, wherein said first routing protocol is the same as said second routing protocol.

Application No. 09/915,332  
Reply to Office Action of March 23, 2005

Patent  
Attorney Docket No. 86655-5  
(formerly 86177-11)

45. (original) A router, comprising:

- a. an interface layer including a plurality of I/O controllers, each I/O controller implementing an I/O port;
- b. a switching layer in communication with said interface layer for selectively establishing signal pathways between said I/O ports;
- c. a routing layer in communication with said interface layer, said routing layer having at least first and second routing protocol computing entities, each routing protocol computing entity including:
  - i. a CPU;
  - ii. a data storage medium in communication with said CPU;
  - iii. a program data stored in said data storage medium for execution by said CPU;
- d. the program data in the storage medium of said first routing protocol computing entity effecting management of one or more peering sessions with remote routing devices according to a first routing protocol, when executed by the CPU of said first routing protocol computing entity;
- e. the program data in the storage medium of said second routing protocol computing entity effecting management of one or more peering sessions with remote routing devices according to a second routing protocol when executed by the CPU of said second routing protocol computing entity;
- f. the data storage medium of said first routing protocol computing entity holding a local routing table storing an inbound routing database derived from route information transferred from a remote routing device during a peering session managed by said first routing protocol computing entity;
- g. the data storage medium of said second routing protocol computing entity holding a local routing table storing an inbound routing database derived from route information transferred from a remote routing device during a peering session managed by said second routing protocol computing entity;

Application No. 09/915,332  
Reply to Office Action of March 23, 2005

Patent  
Attorney Docket No. 86655-5  
(formerly 86177-11)

- h. said routing layer including a control computing entity in data communicative relationship with each routing protocol computing entity, said control computing entity including:
  - i. a CPU;
  - ii. a data storage medium in communication with the CPU of said control computing entity;
  - iii. a master routing table stored in the data storage medium of said control computing entity, said master routing table holding a master routing database derived at least in part from the inbound routing database of said first routing protocol computing entity and from the inbound routing database of said second routing protocol computing entity;
  - iv. a program data in the data storage medium of said control computing entity for execution by the CPU of said control computing entity to implement a main routing table manager to manage said master routing table;
- i. a backup computing entity in data communicative relationship with said first and second routing protocol computing entities and with said control computing entity, said backup computing entity including:
  - i. a CPU;
  - ii. a data storage medium in communication with the CPU of said backup computing entity;
  - iii. a program data in the data storage medium of said backup computing entity for execution by the CPU of said backup computing for effecting management of one or more peering sessions with remote routing devices according to a first routing protocol;
  - iv. said backup computing entity being responsive to an operational failure of said first routing protocol computing entity to:
    - 1. transfer information from said master routing table to the data storage medium of said backup computing entity to re-build at least partially the local routing table of said first routing protocol computing entity;

Application No. 09/915,332  
Reply to Office Action of March 23, 2005

Patent  
Attorney Docket No. 86655-5  
(formerly 86177-11)

2. enable the program data in the data storage medium of said backup computing entity to effect management of one or more peering sessions with remote routing devices according to a first routing protocol.
46. (original) A router as defined in claim 45, wherein said first routing protocol is different from said second routing protocol.
47. (original) A router as defined in claim 45, wherein said first routing protocol is the same as said second routing protocol.
48. (new) A router as defined in claim 35, wherein one of the tasks performed by said routing layer is applying an inbound policy processing on route information received from the remote routing device.
49. (new) A router as defined in claim 35, wherein one of the tasks performed by said routing layer is applying an outbound policy processing on route information.
50. (new) A router as defined in claim 1, wherein the subset of at least one protocol associated with said first routing protocol computing entity contains exactly one routing protocol and the subset of at least one routing protocol associated with said second routing protocol computing entity contains exactly one routing protocol.
51. (new) A router as defined in claim 1, wherein the subset of at least one protocol associated with said first routing protocol computing entity and the subset of at least one routing protocol associated with said second routing protocol computing entity are mutually exclusive subsets.